

Spin-density wave versus superconducting fluctuations for quasi-one-dimensional electrons in two chains of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids

Hideo Yoshioka and Yoshikazu Suzumura

Department of Physics, Nagoya University,

Nagoya 464-01, Japan

(Received 1 March 1996)

Abstract

We study possible states at low temperatures by applying the renormalization-group method to two chains of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids with both repulsive intrachain interactions and interchain hopping. As the energy decreases below the hopping energy, three distinct regions I, III, and II appear successively depending on properties of fluctuations. The crossover from the spin-density wave (SDW) state to superconducting (SC) state takes place in region III where there are the excitation gaps of transverse charge and spin fluctuations. The competition between SDW and SC states in region III is crucial to understanding the phase diagram in the quasi-one-dimensional organic conductors.

71.10.Hf, 71.10.Pm, 75.30.Fv, 74.70.Kn

Organic conductors, $(\text{TMTSF})_2\text{X}$ are typical quasi-one-dimensional (1D) electron systems with the ratio given by $t_a : t_b : t_c \simeq 10 : 1 : 0.1$ where t_a , t_b and t_c are the transfer energies along the a -axis, the b -axis and the c -axis, respectively. Such an anisotropy gives much varieties of ordered states characteristic in low dimension which have been obtained by varying temperature, pressure and magnetic field. These conductors show a common feature of a phase diagram on the plain of pressure and temperature [1,2]. For example, $(\text{TMTSF})_2\text{PF}_6$ undergoes a transition from the metallic state to the insulating state of spin-density wave (SDW) at about 20 K in ambient pressure. Under pressure, the transition temperature of the SDW decreases and a superconducting (SC) phase appears at a critical temperature of 0.9 K for 12 kbar [3]. Such a competition between the SDW state and the SC state has been ascribed to the fact that the nesting condition for the Fermi surface is essential for the formation of the SDW state but not for the SC state. Actually the increase of pressure deforms the 1D band into the three-dimensional (3D) one, and thus leads to breaking of the nesting condition. There are many theoretical work on the problem of the competition of the SC state and the SDW state in the organic conductors, e.g., the phase diagram of the quasi-1D electron systems with the interchain hopping has been studied by use of the g -ology theory where the renormalization of the 1D fluctuation stops at the energy of the interchain hopping [4].

Two chains of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids coupled by interchain hopping is a basic model for understanding the phase diagram of the quasi-1D systems. Extensive studies on the two chain systems have shown that the ground state in the case of the weak repulsive intrachain interactions is given by the SC state with interchain and in phase pairing [5–9]. However, the conclusion derived from these two coupled chains is apparently incompatible with the phase diagram of the above quasi-1D conductors due to the following fact. The reason for the SDW state in the phase diagram is considered as the good nesting condition at low pressure, while the two chains with the perfect nesting condition shows the SC state instead of the SDW state. In the present paper, we examine the two chain systems in terms of the renormalization-group method to answer such a problem. It is shown that the phase

transition from the SDW to the SC state under the pressure can be understood qualitatively in the two chain system.

We consider the Hamiltonian of the two chains written as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} = & \sum_{k,p,\sigma,i} \epsilon_{kp} a_{k,p,\sigma,i}^\dagger a_{k,p,\sigma,i} - t \sum_{k,p,\sigma} \left\{ a_{k,p,\sigma,1}^\dagger a_{k,p,\sigma,2} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \right\} \\ & + \frac{\pi v_F}{L} g_1 \sum_{p,\sigma,\sigma',i} \sum_{k_1,k_2,q} a_{k_1,p,\sigma,i}^\dagger a_{k_2,-p,\sigma',i}^\dagger a_{k_2+q,p,\sigma',i} a_{k_1-q,-p,\sigma,i} \\ & + \frac{\pi v_F}{L} g_2 \sum_{p,\sigma,\sigma',i} \sum_{k_1,k_2,q} a_{k_1,p,\sigma,i}^\dagger a_{k_2,-p,\sigma',i}^\dagger a_{k_2+q,-p,\sigma',i} a_{k_1-q,p,\sigma,i} .\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

The quantity k and $\epsilon_{kp} = v_F(pk - k_F)$ are the momentum and the kinetic energy of a Fermion where v_F , $p = +(-)$ and k_F denote the Fermi velocity, the right-going (left-going) state of an electron and the Fermi momentum, respectively. A creation operator of the Fermion with indices, k, p, σ, i is expressed by $a_{k,p,\sigma,i}^\dagger$ where $\sigma = +(-)$ and $i (= 1, 2)$ correspond to the spin $\uparrow (\downarrow)$ state and the index of the chains. The interchain hopping and the length of the chain are defined by t and L . The normalized quantities g_1 and g_2 , respectively, express the matrix elements of the intrachain interaction for the backward scattering and the forward scattering, where the conventional definition of the elements are given by $g \rightarrow g/(2\pi v_F)$ [10]. We examine the case that the interactions are repulsive, i.e., g_1 and g_2 are positive values.

In Eq.(1), we take account of the splitting of the Fermi surface due to the interchain hopping. Then, by utilizing the method of Abelian bosonization to the new Fermi surface, we express the Hamiltonian in terms of the phase variables as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} = & \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ (1 + g_\theta)(\partial_x \theta_+)^2 + (1 - g_\theta)(\partial_x \theta_-)^2 \right\} \\ & + \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ (1 - g_1)(\partial_x \phi_+)^2 + (1 + g_1)(\partial_x \phi_-)^2 \right\} \\ & + \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ (\partial_x \tilde{\theta}_+)^2 + (\partial_x \tilde{\theta}_-)^2 + (\partial_x \tilde{\phi}_+)^2 + (\partial_x \tilde{\phi}_-)^2 \right\} \\ & + \frac{v_F}{2\pi\alpha^2} g_\theta \int dx \left\{ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_- - \cos(2q_0 x - \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_+) \right\} \left\{ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_- + \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_+ \right\} \\ & - \frac{v_F}{2\pi\alpha^2} g_1 \int dx \left\{ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_- + \cos(2q_0 x - \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_+) \right\} \left\{ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_- - \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_+ \right\} \\ & + \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha^2} g_1 \int dx \cos \sqrt{2} \phi_+ \left\{ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_+ + \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_- - \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_- + \cos(2q_0 x - \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_-) \right\} ,\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where $g_\theta \equiv 2g_2 - g_1$ and $q_0 = 2t/v_F$. The quantity α^{-1} is the upper cutoff of the wave

number. In Eq.(2), the phase fields are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{q \neq 0} \frac{2\pi i}{qL} e^{-\alpha|q|/2 - iqx} a_{k+q,p,\sigma,\mu}^\dagger a_{k,p,\sigma,\mu} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\{ \theta_+ + p\theta_- + \mu(\tilde{\theta}_+ + p\tilde{\theta}_-) + \sigma(\phi_+ + p\phi_-) + \sigma\mu(\tilde{\phi}_+ + p\tilde{\phi}_-) \right\} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $a_{k,p,\sigma,\mu} = (-\mu a_{k,p,\sigma,1} + a_{k,p,\sigma,2})/\sqrt{2}$ ($\mu = \pm$). The phase variables, $\theta_\pm$ and $\phi_\pm$, describe the fluctuations of the total charge and the total spin, respectively, while $\tilde{\theta}_\pm$ and $\tilde{\phi}_\pm$ express the transverse fluctuation of the charge and the spin degrees of freedom. In terms of Eq.(3), the field operators of Fermions, $\psi_{p,\sigma,\mu}$ ($= 1/\sqrt{L} \sum_k e^{ikx} a_{k,p,\sigma,\mu}$), are expressed as [11,12]

$$\psi_{p,\sigma,\mu}(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\alpha}} \exp [ipk_F x + i\Theta_{p,\sigma,\mu} + i\pi\Xi_{p,\sigma,\mu}] \quad , \quad (4)$$

with

$$\Theta_{p,\sigma,\mu} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left\{ p\theta_+ + \theta_- + \mu(p\tilde{\theta}_+ + \tilde{\theta}_-) + \sigma(p\phi_+ + \phi_-) + \sigma\mu(p\tilde{\phi}_+ + \tilde{\phi}_-) \right\} \quad . \quad (5)$$

In Eq.(4), the phase factor, $\pi\Xi_{p,\sigma,\mu}$, is added so that the Fermion operators with different indices satisfy the anticommutation relation [10]. The factor $\Xi_{p,\sigma,\mu}$ is given by $\Xi_1 = 0$ and $\Xi_i = \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \hat{N}_j$, ($i = 2 \sim 8$) with $\hat{N}_i$ being the number operator of the Fermions with indices i where the index (p, σ, μ) corresponds to $(+, +, +) = 1$, $(+, -, +) = 2$, $(+, +, -) = 3$, $(+, -, -) = 4$, $(-, +, +) = 5$, $(-, -, +) = 6$, $(-, +, -) = 7$ and $(-, -, -) = 8$, respectively.

In the case of $g_2 \neq 0$ and $g_1 = 0$, it has been shown that the fourth term in Eq.(2) without the term including the misfit parameter tends to the strong coupling in the low-energy limit [13]. In this case, since the transverse fluctuation of the charge becomes completely gapful and that of the spin shows two kinds of excitations being gapless and gapful, the possible states are given by the density wave with both intrachain and out of phase ordering and the SC states with both interchain and in phase pairing [8]. By use of Eqs.(4) and (5), those states, $DW_+^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ for the density wave and $S_-^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ for the SC states, are expressed explicitly in terms of the phase variables as follows [14],

$$DW_+^{\sigma,\sigma'} \equiv \sum_\mu \psi_{p,\sigma,\mu}^\dagger \psi_{-p,\sigma',-\mu} = - \left\{ \psi_{p,\sigma,1}^\dagger \psi_{-p,\sigma',1} - (1 \rightarrow 2) \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sim \frac{-i}{\pi\alpha} e^{-i2pk_F x} e^{\frac{-ip}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_+} e^{\frac{-ip}{2\sqrt{2}}(\sigma+\sigma')\phi_+} e^{\frac{-i}{2\sqrt{2}}(\sigma-\sigma')\phi_-} \\
& \times \sin \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\theta}_-}{\sqrt{2}} + p \frac{\sigma - \sigma'}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\phi}_+ + \frac{\sigma + \sigma'}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\phi}_- \right\}, \tag{6}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_-^{\sigma,\sigma'} & \equiv \sum_{\mu} \mu \psi_{p,\sigma,\mu} \psi_{-p,\sigma',\mu} = - \{ \psi_{p,\sigma,1} \psi_{-p,\sigma',2} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) \} \\
& \sim \frac{i}{\pi\alpha} e^{\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}}\theta_-} e^{\frac{ip}{2\sqrt{2}}(\sigma-\sigma')\phi_+} e^{\frac{i}{2\sqrt{2}}(\sigma+\sigma')\phi_-} \\
& \times \sin \left\{ \frac{\tilde{\theta}_-}{\sqrt{2}} + p \frac{\sigma - \sigma'}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\phi}_+ + \frac{\sigma + \sigma'}{2\sqrt{2}} \tilde{\phi}_- \right\}, \tag{7}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{p,\sigma,\mu} = 1/\sqrt{L} \sum_k a_{k,p,\sigma,\mu} e^{ikx}$. Among two kinds of states, $DW_+^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ becomes dominant state and $S_-^{\sigma,\sigma'}$ is subdominant [8]. In the following, we discuss the effects of the backward scattering on the the competition between these state and show that the crossover from the SDW state to the SC state are seen when the scaling energy are decreased.

In Eq.(2), the interchain hopping leads to two kinds of energy regions, i.e., the high-energy region where the excitations are essentially the same as those in the absence of the hopping and the low-energy region where the hopping is relevant. By applying the renormalization procedure of the 1D system to the higher-energy region ($\omega > t$) [8], the effective Hamiltonian in the case of $\omega < t$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} &= \frac{v_\theta}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_\theta} (\partial_x \theta_+)^2 + \eta_\theta (\partial_x \theta_-)^2 \right\} + \frac{v_\phi}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_\phi} (\partial_x \phi_+)^2 + \eta_\phi (\partial_x \phi_-)^2 \right\} \\
&+ \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\theta}}} (\partial_x \tilde{\theta}_+)^2 + \eta_{\tilde{\theta}} (\partial_x \tilde{\theta}_-)^2 \right\} + \frac{v_F}{4\pi} \int dx \left\{ \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}} (\partial_x \tilde{\phi}_+)^2 + \eta_{\tilde{\phi}} (\partial_x \tilde{\phi}_-)^2 \right\} \\
&+ \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_- \int dx \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_- \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_- + \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_+ \int dx \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_- \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_+ \\
&+ \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_a^* \int dx \cos \sqrt{2} \phi_+ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_+ + \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_b^* \int dx \cos \sqrt{2} \phi_+ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\phi}_- \\
&- \frac{v_F}{\pi\alpha'^2} g_c^* \int dx \cos \sqrt{2} \phi_+ \cos \sqrt{2} \tilde{\theta}_- , \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha' \sim v_F/t > \alpha$, $v_\theta = v_F \sqrt{(1+g_\theta)(1-g_\theta)}$, $v_\phi = v_F \sqrt{(1-g_1^*)(1+g_1^*)}$, $\eta_\theta = \sqrt{(1-g_\theta)/(1+g_\theta)}$, $\eta_\phi = \sqrt{(1+g_1^*)/(1-g_1^*)}$, $\eta_{\tilde{\theta}} = \eta_{\tilde{\phi}} = 1$, $g_\pm = g_2 - g_1/2 \pm g_1^*/2$, and $g_a^* = g_b^* = g_c^* = g_1^*$. The quantity g_1^* is the renormalized matrix element of the backward scattering and given by $g_1^* \equiv g_1/\{1 + 2g_1 \ln(v_F/t\alpha)\}$ [10]. In this case, the symmetry of spin degree of freedom between ϕ_+ and ϕ_- , and that between $\tilde{\phi}_+$ and $\tilde{\phi}_-$ are broken due to the

finite magnitude of the renormalized backward scattering [8] which reduces to zero in the limit of $t \rightarrow 0$. Hereafter we assume $g_2 > g_1/2$ and then $\eta_\theta < 1$. We note that the present η_θ corresponds to K in Ref. 8 and $1/K_{+\rho}$ in Ref. 9.

Properties at temperatures lower than the hopping energy are examined by applying the renormalization-group method to Eq.(8). By making use of the scaling relation for correlation functions $\langle \exp[-i\tilde{\theta}_+(x)/\sqrt{2}] \exp[i\tilde{\theta}_+(0)/\sqrt{2}] \rangle$, $\langle \exp[-i\tilde{\phi}_+(x)/\sqrt{2}] \exp[i\tilde{\phi}_+(0)/\sqrt{2}] \rangle$, and $\langle \exp[-i\phi_+(x)/\sqrt{2}] \exp[i\phi_+(0)/\sqrt{2}] \rangle$ [15], the equations of the renormalization-group are obtained as

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}\eta_{\tilde{\theta}} = \frac{1}{4}(g_-^2 + g_+^2 + g_c^{*2}), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}\eta_{\tilde{\phi}} = \frac{1}{4}(g_-^2 - g_+^2\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}^2 - g_a^{*2}\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}^2 + g_b^{*2}), \quad (10)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}\eta_{\phi} = -\frac{\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}^2}{4}(g_a^{*2} + g_b^{*2} + g_c^{*2}), \quad (11)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}g_- = (2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\theta}}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}})g_-, \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}g_+ = (2 - \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\theta}}} - \eta_{\tilde{\phi}})g_+, \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}g_a^* = (2 - \eta_{\phi} - \eta_{\tilde{\phi}})g_a^*, \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}g_b^* = (2 - \eta_{\phi} - \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}})g_b^*, \quad (15)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\ell}g_c^* = (2 - \eta_{\phi} - \frac{1}{\eta_{\tilde{\theta}}})g_c^*, \quad (16)$$

where $\ell \equiv \ln(t/\omega)$. The initial conditions are given by those at $\ell = 0$, i.e., $\eta_{\phi}(0) = \sqrt{(1+g_1^*)/(1-g_1^*)}$ (> 1), $\eta_{\tilde{\theta}}(0) = \eta_{\tilde{\phi}}(0) = 1$, $g_{\pm}(0) = g_2 - g_1/2 \pm g_1^*/2$, and $g_a^*(0) = g_b^*(0) = g_c^*(0) = g_1^*$, respectively.

We numerically calculate the differential equations (9)–(16). The result in the case of $g_1 = 0.45$, $g_2 = 0.5$ and $t\alpha/v_F = 0.1$ is shown in Fig.1. There are three kinds of regions, $t > \omega > \omega_1$ (I), $\omega_1 > \omega > \omega_2$ (III) and $\omega_2 > \omega$ (II) where $\ln(t/\omega_1) \simeq 8$ and $\ln(t/\omega_2) \simeq 10$. The corresponding regions are marked along the horizontal axis.

In region I, the monotonical increase of $\eta_{\tilde{\theta}}$ and decrease of $\eta_{\tilde{\phi}}$ are obtained since g_+ increases toward the strong coupling and the quantity g_- with a slight enhancement decreases

to zero. The variations of the nonlinear terms including ϕ_+ , and η_ϕ are negligible.

In region III, the relevant g_+ results in the gaps for the transverse fluctuations of both charge and spin degrees of freedom. Note that, in the present case, there is no gapless mode of the transverse spin fluctuation which exists in the case of only the forward scattering. In this region, the variables $\tilde{\theta}_-$ and $\tilde{\phi}_+$ show the relevance and then the pairing states with antiparallel spin in Eqs.(6) and (7), i.e., the transverse spin-density wave (TSDW) state and the singlet superconducting (SS) state are selected. Since the exponent of the correlation function for the TSDW (SS) state is given by $(\eta_\theta + \eta_\phi^{-1})/2$ ($(\eta_\theta^{-1} + \eta_\phi)/2$) and η_ϕ decreases to zero, the TSDW (SS) state becomes the most dominant and the SS (TSDW) state remains subdominant for ω near ω_1 (ω_2). Therefore there is a crossover from the TSDW state to the SS state in region III.

In region II, the gap for the fluctuation of the total spin also appears in addition to the above transverse degrees of freedom. In this region, the nonmagnetic state is selected because the gap of the total spin denotes the finite amount of energy for creating the magnetization along the quantized axis. Actually the SS state becomes dominant [5–9], while the correlation function of TSDW decays exponentially.

The existence of region III is crucial to relating the result of the two chains with the phase diagram of quasi-1D conductors as a function of temperature and pressure. When the 3D coupling is taken into account, the crossover from the TSDW state to the SS state in region III of Fig.1 gives rise to the actual phase transition [4]. We assume that the pressure increases t and then the ratio t/ω increases with the fixed ω . Then the crossover from the fluctuation of TSDW to that of SS with the fixed ω in Fig.1 indicates the transition from the SDW state to the SS state in the phase diagram of organic conductors with the fixed T [1,2]. The SDW in the two chains have nesting vector $(2k_F, \pi)$, which satisfies the condition of the perfect nesting. This result is consistent with the nesting vector obtained by NMR measurements [16,17]. The SS state with the interchain pairing suggests the anisotropic gap which was asserted by the theoretical analysis [18] of the NMR relaxation rate of $(\text{TMTSF})_2\text{ClO}_4$ [19]. Thus we obtained the correspondence between the states in region III and those in quasi-1D

conductors.

The present crossover from the SDW state to the SC state is due to the increase of t , i.e., the dimensionality. The pressure also leads to the breaking of the nesting condition. This may cause an additional effect of the increase of the crossover energy.

In conclusion, we investigated electronic states of two chains of Tomonaga-Luttinger liquids at low temperatures by use of the renormalization-group method. There exist three kinds of energy regions where the crossover from the TSDW state to the SS state occurs in region III. The existence of such a crossover is essential to explaining the phase diagram of SDW vs SS states in the quasi-1D conductors such as $(\text{TMTSF})_2\text{X}$.

One of the authors (H.Y) thanks K. Sano and N. Tanemura for useful discussion. This work was financially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture (No.05640410), and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on the priority area, Novel Electronic States in Molecular Conductors from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. Jérôme and H. J. Schulz, Adv. Phys. **31**, 299 (1982).
- [2] T. Ishiguro and K. Yamaji, *Organic Superconductors*, Springer Series in Solid-State Sciences (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990), Vol.88.
- [3] D. Jérôme, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst. **79**, 155 (1982).
- [4] G. C. Psaltakis, J. Phys. C **18**, 2261 (1985), and references therein.
- [5] M. Fabrizio, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 15838 (1993).
- [6] K. Yamaji and Y. Shimoi, Physica C **222**, 349 (1994).
- [7] N. Nagaosa and M. Oshikawa, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **65**, 2241 (1996).
- [8] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B **53**, R2959 (1996).
- [9] L. Balents and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B **53**, 12133 (1996).
- [10] J. Sólyom, Adv. Phys. **28**, 201 (1979).
- [11] A. Luther and I. Peschel, Phys. Rev. B **9**, 2911 (1974).
- [12] A. Luther and V.J. Emery, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 589 (1974).
- [13] A. M. Finkel'stein and A. I. Larkin, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 10461 (1993).
- [14] H. Yoshioka and Y. Suzumura, unpublished.
- [15] T. Giamarchi and H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 4626 (1989).
- [16] J. M. Delrieu, M. Roger, Z. Toffano, A. Moradpour, and K. Bechgaard, J. Phys.(Paris) **47**, 839 (1986).
- [17] T. Takahashi, Y. Maniwa, H. Kawamura, and G. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **55**, 1364 (1986).
- [18] Y. Hasegawa and H. Fukuyama, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 877 (1987).

[19] M. Takigawa, H. Yasuoka and G. Saito, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **56**, 873 (1987).

FIGURES

FIG. 1. Solutions of the renormalization-group equations of Eqs.(9)–(16), in the case of $g_1 = 0.45$, $g_2 = 0.5$, and $t\alpha/v_F = 0.1$ where the boundary between regions I and III (III and II) is given by $\omega = \omega_1 \sim te^{-8}$ ($\omega = \omega_2 \sim te^{-10}$).

